Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bohari M. Yamin,^a* Maisara A. Kadir,^a Mazni Zuraida M. Zin,^a Anwar Usman,^b Ibrahim Abdul Razak^b and Hoong-Kun Fun^b

^aSchool of Chemical Sciences and Food Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia, and ^bX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: bohari@pkrisc.cc.ukm.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.054 wR factor = 0.159 Data-to-parameter ratio = 23.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved The title compound, $C_3H_8N_2S_2$, exists as a zwitterion, $H_3N^+(CH_2)_2NHCS_2^-$. The lengths of the two C-S bonds in the planar NCS₂ dithiocarbamate group are essentially equal, which suggests that these bonds have almost equal double-bond character. Four N-H···S interactions link the molecules in the crystal into an infinite three-dimensional network.

N-(2-Aminoethyl)dithiocarbamic acid

Received 27 November 2001 Accepted 2 January 2002 Online 22 February 2002

Comment

Studies on dithiocarbamic acids of the NH₃(CH₂)_nNHCS₂ type are relatively scarce compared with their hydrazine analogues RNHNHCS₂H or [RNHNH₃]⁺[RNHNHCS₂]⁻ (Battistoni *et al.*, 1971; Iskander & El-Syed, 1971). This is rather surprising, taking into account that pyrolysis of N-(2-aminoethyl)dithiocarbamic acid provides a convenient method for the preparation of ethylenethiourea. Alkyl-substituted N-(2-aminoethyl)dithiocarbamic acid, namely zwitterionic alkylaminoalkyldithiocarbamates, have also been of interest in an attempt to determine the effect of the alkyl substituent on the structure of thiocarbamate ligands (Kokkou *et al.*, 1988; Stergioudis *et al.*, 1989). This interest prompted us to study the structure of the title compound, (I).

$$S_2C$$
 NH CH_2 CH_2 NH_3^+ (I)

The molecule exists as a zwitterion, $H_3N^+(CH_2)_2NHCS_2^-$. The NCS₂ dithiocarbamate group is planar with a maximum deviation of 0.004 (2) Å for the C1 atom. The N1-C1 bond length of 1.339 (3) Å (Table 1) is that of a typical $Csp^2 - Nsp^2$ bond (Allen et al., 1987), and is close to the analogous bond lengths in the reported thiocarbamates with alkyl substituents [C-N = 1.345 (5) and 1.340 (6) Å; Kokkou et al., 1988; Stergioudis et al., 1989] and to the corresponding distance of 1.339 (4) À in sodium dimethylaminodithiocarbamate hydrate (Oskarsson & Ymén, 1983). The C1-S1 and C1-S2 bond lengths of 1.720 (2) and 1.712 (2) Å are typical of the $CS_2^$ anion (Allen et al., 1987). The difference of 0.008 (4) Å is negligible compared with that in dimethylaminoethyldithiocarbamate [0.048 (6) Å; Stergioudis et al., 1989] or diethylaminoethyldithiocarbamate [0.032 (7) Å; Kokkou et al., 1988]. This implies that the two C-S bonds in the title compound have almost equal partial double-bond character. The bond length N2-C3, 1.486 (3) Å, is within the normal range for the $C-N^+$ distance. The conformation about the N2-C3 bond is staggered.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

All H atoms bonded to N (one at N1 and three at N2) participate in N-H···S hydrogen bonding (Table 2). The $N1-H1A\cdots S1^{i}$, $N2-H2C\cdots S1^{ii}$ and $N2-H2D\cdots S2^{iii}$ bonds link the molecules into layers parallel to the *ab* crystal plane [symmetry codes: (i) 1-x, y-1/2, 1/2-z; (ii) x-1, y, z; (iii) 1-x, y+1/2, 1/2-z]. A fourth N2-H2E····S1^{iv} bond interconnects the layers into a three-dimensional infinite network [symmetry code: (iv) 1-x, 1-y, 1-z].

Experimental

Carbon disulfide (25.2 g, 0.33 mol) was added dropwise to a solution of ethylenediamine (12.0 g, 0.33 mol) in 95% ethanol at a temperature below 273 K. Mixing was carried out under constant stirring with a magnetic stirrer. The white precipitate which formed was filtered off and washed first with cold ethanol and then with petroleum ether. The dried precipitate was dissolved in hot water, poured into a crystalizing dish and covered with aluminum foil to allow evaporation of the solvent. After one day, white single crystals were obtained and washed with ethanol.



Figure 2

The packing diagram for the crystal of the title compound, viewed down the *a* axis. The dashed lines denote the $N-H \cdots S$ hydrogen bonds.

Crystat aata	
$\begin{array}{l} C_{3}H_{8}N_{2}S_{2} \\ M_{r} = 136.23 \\ \text{Monoclinic, } P_{2_{1}}/c \\ a = 7.0173 \ (3) \ \text{\AA} \\ b = 10.2294 \ (4) \ \text{\AA} \\ c = 8.7064 \ (4) \ \text{\AA} \\ \beta = 92.561 \ (2)^{\circ} \\ V = 624.35 \ (5) \ \text{\AA}^{3} \\ Z = 4 \end{array}$	$D_x = 1.449 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 3039 reflections $\theta = 3.1-28.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Block, colorless $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Siemens SMART CCD area- detector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.810, T_{\max} = 0.867$ 3678 measured reflections	1513 independent reflections 1235 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.079$ $\theta_{max} = 28.2^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -8 \rightarrow 11$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.054$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0848P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Kennement on r	$W = 1/[0 (\Gamma_0) + (0.0646\Gamma)]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.054$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.159$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
S = 1.09	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.97 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
1513 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.03 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
65 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction coefficient: 0.21 (2)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

S1-C1	1.720 (2)	N1-C2	1.458 (3)
S2-C1	1.712 (2)	N2-C3	1.486 (3)
N1-C1	1.339 (3)		
C1-N1-C2	127.6 (2)	S2-C1-S1	122.03 (13)
N1-C1-S2	117.81 (17)	N1-C2-C3	113.4 (2)
N1-C1-S1	120.16 (17)	N2-C3-C2	111.7 (2)
C2-N1-C1-S2	-167.90(18)	C1-N1-C2-C3	-116.4(3)
C2-N1-C1-S1	12.9 (3)	N1-C2-C3-N2	73.5 (3)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$ $D-H$ $H\cdots A$ $D\cdots A$ $D-H\cdots A$ N1-H1A\cdots S1 ⁱ 0.86 2.53 3.275 (2) 145 N2-H2C\cdots S1 ⁱⁱ 0.89 2.41 3.278 (2) 166 N2-H2D\cdots S2 ⁱⁱⁱ 0.89 2.57 3.393 (2) 155 N2-H2E\cdots S1 ^{iv} 0.89 2.53 3.360 (3) 154					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N2 - H2C \cdots S1^{ii} & 0.89 & 2.41 & 3.278 (2) & 166 \\ N2 - H2D \cdots S2^{iii} & 0.89 & 2.57 & 3.393 (2) & 155 \\ N2 - H2F \cdots S1^{iv} & 0.89 & 2.53 & 3.360 (3) & 154 \end{array}$	$N1-H1A\cdots S1^{i}$	0.86	2.53	3.275 (2)	145
$N2 - H2D \cdots S2^{iii} 0.89 2.57 3.393 (2) 155 N2 - H2E \cdots S1^{iv} 0.89 2.53 3.360 (3) 154$	$N2 - H2C \cdot \cdot \cdot S1^{ii}$	0.89	2.41	3.278 (2)	166
$N_2 = H_2 E_2 \dots S_1^{iv}$ 0.89 2.53 3.360 (3) 1.54	$N2 - H2D \cdot \cdot \cdot S2^{iii}$	0.89	2.57	3.393 (2)	155
(2 1122 51 0.05) 2.55 5.566 (5) 151	$N2-H2E\cdots S1^{iv}$	0.89	2.53	3.360 (3)	154

Symmetry codes: (i) $1 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) x - 1, y, z; (iii) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iv) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

After checking their presence in a difference map, all H atoms were fixed geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent C or N atoms, with C-H = 0.97 Å and N-H = 0.89 Å. Their U_{iso} values were constrained to be $1.5U_{eq}$ of the carrier atom for the NH₃ group H atoms and $1.2U_{eq}$ for the remaining H atoms.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PARST (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 1990).

The authors would like to thank the Malaysian Government and both Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia and Universiti Sains Malaysia for the research grants IRPA Nos. 09-02-02-0163 and R&D 305/PFIZIK/610961, respectively. AU thanks the Universiti Sains Malaysia for a Visiting Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L. Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 2, pp. S1–19.

- Battistoni, C., Mattogno, G., Monaci, A. & Tarli, F. (1971). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 33, 3815–3832.
- Iskander, M. F. & El-Syed, L. (1971). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 33, 4253-4263.
- Kokkou, S. C, Cheer, C. J., Rentzeperis, P. J. & Karagiannidis, P. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 1984–1987.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Oskarsson, A. & Ymén, I. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 66-68.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.
- Stergioudis, G. A., Kokkou, S. C. & Karagiannidis, P. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 140–142.